



**GOVERNMENT OF TELANGANA**  
**A B S T R A C T**

Public Services – Treasuries & Accounts Department – Medak District – Criminal and Disciplinary Proceedings against Late Sri Anjaiah, AAO (Retd.) District Treasury, Sangareddy for his involvement in sanctioning of fake pension payment order and paid Rs.1,27,266/- to the accused Mohan Singh on 21-07-1998 without verification of the documents and without following procedure and standing orders of Government of Andhra Pradesh – Late Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.), District Treasury, Sangareddy expired on 01-06-2013 – Further action abated under Sub Rule 7(a) under Rule 9 of A.P.Revised Pension Rules, 1980 – Orders – Issued.

-----

**FINANCE(ADMN.I)DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.RT.No. 1113**

**Dt:10-11-2014**

**Read the following:**

- 1.RJD Charge Memo.No.A3/2339/99-5, dt.25.07.1999.
- 2.G.O.Rt.No.1079, Fin. &Plg. (FW.Admn.I) Dept., 19.06.2000.
- 3.Inquiry report of Sri D.Tavudu, RJD, T&A , Region- I  
Visakhapatnam vide Lr.No.A1/604/99, dt.22.12.2000.
- 4.Memo.No.13529-F/131/A2/Admn.I-Vig./01-04, dt.17-12-2003.
5. Explanation of Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.) Dist. Treasury, Medak,  
dt.26-02-2004
6. G.O.Rt.No.1713 Fin.(Admn.I.Vig.)Dept., dt. 19-04-2005.
7. G.O.Rt.No.3442, Fin. (Admn.I.Vig.) Dept., dt.22.09.2009.
8. Lr.No.K(II)7/16211/99, dt.06-01-2014 of Director of Treasuries &  
Accounts, A.P., Hyderabad along with the application of Smt. K.Pushpa,  
W/o Late S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.).

\*\*\*

**ORDER:**

The fake Pension Payment Orders of Defence Pensions were received by the Treasury Department in Visakhapatnam, Guntur, Cuddapah, Adilabad, Nizamabad, Medak, Mahaboobnagar and Nalgonda Districts and an amount of Rs.37,18,910/- was fraudulently drawn by the bogus military pensioners. In Medak District, as the imposters sanctioned a Military Pension on the fake pension payment and paid Rs.1,27,266/- on 21-07-1998 without verification of the documents and without following procedure and standing orders of Government of Andhra Pradesh. Disciplinary proceedings were initiated against the following Treasury personnel who were involved in the case of payment of amount on fake Pension Payment Orders in the Medak District.

- 1.SriS.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.)
- 2.SriM.A.Saleem, STO(Retd.)
3. Sri D.Ramachandraiah, Senior Accountant(Retd.)
- 4.SriB.Narayana, Accounts Officer
5. Sri P.Chandraiah, Senior Accountant(Retd.)

2. In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, the Regional Joint Director, Treasuries and Accounts Department, Region-III, Hyderabad initiated disciplinary action against Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.), District Treasury, Sangareddy, Medak District along with other Treasury personnel who were involved in the case of payment of amount on fake Defence PPOs.

:: 2 ::

3. Government issued order for regular inquiry under A.P.C.S.(CC & A) Rules 1991 vide reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above by appointing Sri D.Tavudu, Regional Joint Director, T &A, Regional-I, Visakhapatnam and Sri G.Veeranna, Regional Joint Director, Region – III, Hyderabad as Presenting Officer.

4. The following Articles of charge was framed against Late Sri A.Anjaiah, AAO, District Treasury, Medak vide reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above.

#### **CHARGE-I**

5. That the said Sri S.Anjaiah, Sub Treasury Officer, Sub Treasury, Sangareddy while functioning as Sub Treasury Officer, during the period 7/98 has processed / passed the P.P.O's for payment without identifying the pensioners properly and paid in cash in violation of the instructions of the CCDA(P) Allahabad and Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad issuing from time to time which resulted for fraudulent payment to a tune of Rs.1,27,266/- irregularly to bogus Military Pensioners on forged and fake P.P.Os which caused financial loss to Government.

#### **Defence statement of Charged Officer:**

6. The Charged Officer has defended stating that no instructions of C.C.D.A.(P), Allahabad were communicated to the Sub Treasury, Sangareddy by the District Treasury Officer, Sangareddy. He has stated that as these instructions were not communicated, he is not aware of the said instructions. However, in the absence of the said instructions, he has verified the photos on Descriptive Rolls signatures, uncoloured and, format etc., before passing the P.P.O. for payment. He stated that as it was a genuine P.P.O. the District Treasury Officer, Sangareddy has forwarded it to his office for arranging payment. As it was forwarded by the District Treasury, he has passed it for payment taking it as a genuine P.P.O. Finally the Charged officer has requested to drop the charge stating as he is totally innocent.

#### **FINDINGS OF THE ENQUIRY OFFICER:**

7. The charged officer has stated that he has worked as Sub Treasury Officer, Sangareddy for the period from 17-05-1994 to 18-05-1999. When questioned during enquiry whether he is aware of the set of instructions of C.C.D.A.(P), Allahabad he said he is not aware when asked about the procedure being followed by him, in the absence of such instructions, it is stated by him that he verified the photos on Descriptive Rolls with reference to physical presence and signature found tallied and prescribed format and uncoloured seal. In this case the charged Officer processed the forged P.P.O. for payment to a tune of Rs.1,27,226/- and exercised only normal checks without going for all the checks like the production of two witnesses with the existing pensioners and obtaining relevant information confirming the genuineness of the pensioner and also obtaining the information letter sent by District Treasury Officer. This is being the source of payment of pension and being Disbursing Officer dealing the subject as Disbursing Officer it is the primary responsibility, to satisfy the requirement of rules about the bonafides of the pensioners and genuine of the P.P.Os. But his failure to do his job in exercising all the checks resulted to defraud the Government money by a bogus pensioner lead to prove the charge. But it is pertinent to note here that one such bogus pensioner was caught by police and reminded to judicial custody, when he tried to draw pension at Karimnagar. A criminal case was also filed and FIR booked to find out the real culprits.

8. On the basis of the documentary and oral evidence adduced in the case before the inquiry Officer and in view of the reasons given above and taking into consideration the opinion in the written brief of the Presenting Officer, **the charge against Sri S. Anjaiah, Sub Treasury officer, Sub Treasury, Sangareddy is proved.**

9. Consequent on retirement of Sri S. Anjaiah, Sub Treasury officer, Sub Treasury, Sangareddy on 31-08-2003, the Director of Treasuries and Accounts has forwarded the case to the Government for taking action against him under Rule 9 of A.P.Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

10. Government, after careful examination of the report of Enquiry Officer with reference to the charge framed against Sri.S. Anjaiah, Sub Treasury officer, agreed with the findings of the Inquiry Officer and concluded that the charge framed against the delinquent are held proved and issued a show-cause notice along with Enquiry Report vide Memo 4<sup>th</sup> read above, to submit his explanation as to why a punishment of withholding of pensionary benefits should not be imposed on him under Rule 9 of A.P.Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

11. The Charged Officer Sri S. Anjaiah, Sub Treasury officer, Sub Treasury, Sangareddy in his explanation vide reference 5<sup>th</sup> read above denying his involvement in the drawal of Military Pension as Sub Treasury Officer, Sangareddy and that further action may be dropped in view of the grounds adduced below:

1. He has stated that while working as Head quarters S.T.O Sangareddy during the period 7/98 processed /passed the PPO for payment without identifying the pensioner properly and paid in cash in violation of the instructions. Of the CCDA(P) Allahabad and the DTA resulting in fraudulent payment to a tune of the 1,27,266/- irregularly to bogus military pensioner on forged and fake PPO which caused financial loss to Government.
2. Further stated that his explanation to the charge in detail on 15.11.99. He explained there in point wise to the charge framed against him. His Explanation consist of the material as to (01) has the papers were received in the Sub Treasury. (2). Why the payment was made in cash in view of the implementation of G.O.Ms.No.2013 Finance & Planning (F.W.PSC) Department dt.19.12.1997 with effect from 1.4.1999 in terms of Government Memo.No.6028/229/PSC/98, Dt.11.3.1999 (3) How the Pensioner was identified with reference to the photo contained in the descriptive role (4). Why the identification was not carried out in the absence of existence such practicles in the Sub Treasury for last several years. (5). How the culprit was arrested and sent to the judicial custody etc., unfortunately his explanation seems to have not even been looked into by the authorities. Enquiry was conducted by the regional joint Director Visakhapatnam with the assistance of the RJD Hyderabad. As the presenting officer as per orders issued in the G.O.Rt.No.1079, Finance (Admn.I) Department, dt.19.06.2000.
3. During the enquiry he have reiterated his stand besides telling that no instructions of the CCDA (P) Allahabad were communicated to him by the DTO Sangareddy. He have deposed that he verified the photo available in the descriptive roll, signature of the pensioner available in DR, uncolored seal format etc., and arranged payment after applying the checks required while making payment of pension. He deposed that he relied on the communication made by the DTO and arranged payment. His statement has been side lined by the enquiry officer and opined that the charge is held proved mainly on the ground that the pension was not got identified by two military pensioners drawing pension through the sub treasury Sangareddy. Now the Government while communicating a copy of the enquiry report through their Finance Dept. Memo No.13529-F/131/A2/Admn.I-Vig/10-4, Dt.17.12.2003 Communicated with the endorsement No.1/16211/1999-3,

Dt.30.01.2004 of the DTA, A.P., Hyderabad. Have been pleased to ask him to show cause as to while action under Rule 9 under AP Revised Pension Rule, 1980 should not be taken against him as the charge framed has been proved conclusively during the course of enquiry. He submitted that the action of enquiry officer and the Government in Finance department in having arrived at the conclusion holding the charge proved is thoroughly un justice.

4. He has further stated that the proposed action to be taken against him is wholly un justifiable for the reasons.

12. In view of the above, he requested the Government to kindly to drop the proposed action under rule 9 of AP Revised Pension Rules, 1980 and also requested to release of his pensionary benefits.

13. In the reference 6<sup>th</sup> read above, Government issued revised Sanction of prosecution to Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.) for the offences punishable under sections 471, 468, 420 read with 120(B) IPC 1860 and Sec.13(1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act by a court of competent jurisdiction.

14. In the reference 7<sup>th</sup> read above, Govt. have ordered to release the DCRG in respect of those who have retired from service and those who have expired duly withholding the amount of loss that occurred to the Government exchequer on account of the alleged action of the charged officers, which as apportioned by Director of Treasuries & Accounts against each charged officer without prejudice to the departmental proceedings and criminal proceedings pending against them.

15. As the matter stood, in the reference 8<sup>th</sup> read above, the Director of Treasuries and Accounts while furnishing the original application of Smt.K.Pushpa, W/o Late S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.) she has informed that her husband Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.), who was involved in the fraudulent drawal of Military Pensions on fake PPOs in Medak District has suddenly expired on 01-06-2013 during medical treatment at NIMS, Hyderabad. She has requested to abate her husband from the disciplinary proceedings and also requested to arrange to release family pension and with held gratuity of Rs.25,453/-. Hence the Director of Treasuries & Accounts has requested to issue abatement orders for further disciplinary proceedings in respect of Late Sri S.Anjaiah, the then STO, Head Qtrs. Sub Treasury, Sangareddy and AAO(Retd.) with held DCRG amount of Rs.25,453/- as requested by Smt.K.Pushpa, W/o Late Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.).

16. Government, after careful consideration of the matter, hereby abates further action in Criminal and the Disciplinary Proceedings initiated against Late Sri S.Anjaiah, the then STO, Head Qtrs. Sub Treasury, Sangareddy and AAO(Retd.) under sub Rule 7 (a) under Rule 9 of A.P.Revised Pension Rules, 1980, as he has expired on 01-06-2013, subject to withholding the apportioned amount of loss of Rs.25,453/- from the DCRG of the deceased Charged Officer.

17. The Director of Treasuries and Accounts(I/c), Telangana, Hyderabad shall take necessary further action in the matter accordingly.

**(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNER OF TELANGANA)**

**K. RAMAKRISHNA RAO**  
**SPL. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(IF)**

To

Smt.K.Pushpa, W/o Late Sri S.Anjaiah, AAO(Retd.)O/o Sub Treasury, Sangareddy through the Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Telangana, Hyderabad.

The Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Telangana(I/c), Hyderabad

The Addl.Director General, CID, A.P., Hyderabad.

Copy to :

The Accountant General (A&E), A.P., Hyderabad.

The Deputy Director, District Treasury, Nalgonda.

The Commissioner, Telangana Vigilance Commission, Telangana Secretariat, Hyderabad.

**// FORWARDED BY ORDER //**

**SECTION OFFICER**